TOWN OF EASTON, MARYLAND EASTON TOWN HALL 14 S. HARRISON STREET

April 18, 2016

PRESENT AT CONVENING.

Mayor Robert C. Willey	12	Councilmember Pete Lesher
Council President John Ford	13	Councilmember Ron E. Engle
Councilmember Alan I. Silverstein	14	Councilmember Megan M. Cook

Also present was the Town Manager Mr. Robert F. Karge, Town Attorney Mrs. Sharon VanEmburgh, Town Planner Mr. Lynn Thomas and Town Clerk Mrs. Kathy M. Ruf.

CALL TO ORDER.

At 7:00 p.m., President Ford called the regularly scheduled council meeting to order.

OPENING REMARKS BY MR. LESHER AND PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE.

Mr. Lesher gave opening remarks and led those present in the Pledge of Allegiance.

APPROVAL OF MINUTES OF APRIL 4, 2016 MEETING.

Upon motion by Mr. Lesher seconded by Mr. Silverstein and carried unanimously, minutes of the April 4, 2016 meeting were approved.

ITEMS BY MAYOR WILLEY.

Mayor Willey presented the following employee service awards:

TOWN OF EASTON 10, 20 AND 30 YEAR EMPLOYEE SERVICE AWARDS.

The following Town of Easton employees received their employee service awards:

•	Clint T. Bordley, Easton Police Department	20 years
•	Michael J. Dickerson, Public Works	30 Years
•	Jason M. Dyott, Easton Police Department	10 years
•	Lorraine I. Gould, Parks & Recreation Dept.	10 years
•	Andre' T. Magee, Public Works	10 years
•	James R. Miller, Easton Police Department	20 years
•	Edward J. Patrone, Easton Police Department	20 years

WAIVER OF IMPACT FEE.

Mayor Willey brought the request for waiver of the impact fee to the floor.

Mr. Karge stated that the Individual that made the request for the waiver was not the hangar owner. He stated that the proper process has not been followed.

The council deferred any decision on this matter unless and until the owner of the hangar submits a waiver request. This followed the staff recommendation on the matter.

Mayor Willey discussed the request from the Talbot County Office of Tourism to revise the layout of the Resource Center. He stated that no alterations would be made to the building; only the layout.

Mayor Willey stated that the County has allotted a portion of the funding for the crossing guards in their budget.

ITEMS BY THE TOWN MANAGER

Mr. Karge stated that the approval of the property maintenance bids have been deferred.

Mr. Karge discussed the status of the light request at the intersection of St. Michaels Road and Marlboro Road. Mr. Karge stated that he has received notice from State Highway Administration that a traffic signal at that intersection is in the works.

Mr. Karge stated that a request has been received from Channel Marker for the Town of Easton to submit a CDBG grant through the Town of Easton. He stated that a public hearing needs to occur for public comment.

President Ford set the Channel Marker CDBG public hearing for May 2 at 7:30 p.m. for grant assistance for the rehabilitation of their planned Channel Marker Wellness Center at 8865 Glebe Park Drive.

ITEMS BY THE TOWN ATTORNEY.

The Town Attorney asked the President of the Council to reschedule the public hearings for the Talbot Commerce Park map zoning amendment and the Zoning Ordinance amendment from May 2 to May 16.

President Ford set the public hearing for the Zoning Ordinance amendment for the donation bids for May 16 at 7:15 p.m.

President Ford set the public hearing for the Talbot Commerce Park text and map amendment for May 16 at 7:30 p.m.

REVIEW OF INVOICES TOTALING \$788,857.13.

Upon motion by Mr. Lesher seconded by Mr. Engle and carried unanimously, invoices totaling \$788,857.13 were reviewed for payment.

PUBLIC HEARING ON THE REQUEST TO ANNEX APPROXIMATELY 13.025 ACRES, MORE OR LESS LYING CONTIGUOUS TO THE EXISTING BOUNDARIES OF THE TOWN OF EASTON OWNED BY ROUTE 33, LLC AND STANDARD FUSEE CORPORATION (FORMERLY MCLAUGHLIN ACQUISITION CORPORATION). CONCURRENTLY WITH THE HEARING ON THE ANNEXATION RESOLUTION, THE COUNCIL WILL HOLD A HEARING ON ORDINANCE 676, APPLYING A GENERAL COMMERCIAL (CG) ZONING CLASSIFICATION UNDER THE EASTON ZONING ORDINANCE TO THE PROPERTY SUBJECT TO THE ANNEXATION PROPOSAL.

RESOLUTION NO. 6064, "A RESOLUTION TO ANNEX CERTAIN LANDS OWNED BY ROUTE 33, LLC AND STANDARD FUSEE CORPORATION (FORMERLY KNOWN AS MCLAUGHLIN ACQUISITION CORPORATION), LOCATED ON THE NORTH SIDE OF MARYLAND ROUTE 33 AND CONSISTING OF 13.025 + ACRES OF LAND, MORE OR LESS, INTO THE TOWN OF EASTON AND TO PROVIDE FOR THE TERMS AND CONDITIONS OF THE ANNEXATION."

ORDINANCE NO. 676, "AN ORDINANCE OF THE TOWN OF EASTON AMENDING
THE OFFICIAL ZONING MAP OF THE TOWN OF EASTON TO APPLY ORIGINAL
ZONING CLASSIFICATION OF GENERAL COMMERCIAL (CG) TO CERTAIN PARCELS
OF LAND ANNEXED TO THE TOWN OF EASTON BY RESOLUTION NO. 6064
LOCATED ON THE NORTH SIDE OF MARYLAND ROUTE 33 AND CONSISTING OF
13.025 ACRES OF LAND, MORE OR LESS."

At 7:15 p.m., President Ford opened the scheduled public hearing. He discussed the process.

Mr. Thomas, Town Planner gave the history of the annexation request. Mr. Thomas stated that the Planning Commission considered and forwarded their recommendation to annex the property. Mr. Thomas stated that the annexation is consistent with the Comprehensive Plan. He stated that the area is classified as Priority One Growth Area and recommended for future commercial land use. He stated that the traffic has been expressed as a concern at the two Planning Commission meetings. Mr. Thomas stated that there is a pending signal at Marlboro Road and St. Michaels Road. Mr. Thomas stated that there has been no expressed, conceptual plan for development forwarded to the Planning and Zoning Commission. The Town Planner stated that even if the annexation does not occur, development may happen but under the county's jurisdiction under their rules and regulations. Mr. Thomas discussed the comments

regarding strip development towards St. Michaels. He discussed the Town establishing the Urban Growth Boundary around the entire perimeter around the town of Easton.

President Ford commended Mr. Thomas, the Planning Commission and the Town Attorney on the letter sent to the council and the concerns addressed.

The Town Attorney stated that the Annexation Plan has to be consistent with the municipal growth element of the Comprehensive Plan. She discussed the discretionary powers of the council. Ms. VanEmburgh stated that in order to grant it, you have to find the Annexation Plan consistent with the municipal growth element. In order to deny, it is completely a discretionary action on the council.

Mr. Silverstein asked if the council could keep the zoning intact for the next five years.

The Town Attorney stated that the county could decide whether to give the town a waiver and apply the town's zoning district or they could require the county's zoning to be enforced which would be applicable for a five year period.

Mr. Thomas stated that the letter was jointly from Mr. Tettlebaum and himself.

Mr. Zach Smith, Attorney on behalf of the applicant which is two property owners, Route 33 LLC and the Standard Fusee Corporation. He stated that the annexation would consist of 13.025 acres of land, west of the town and contiguous to the town of Easton. Mr. Smith stated that the smaller of the two properties is currently zoned CG and the remaining 11 acres are zoned LI by the county. He requested annexation and the application of the Town's CG zone. He stated that annexation of the property is consistent with the Town of Easton Comprehensive Plan and in the best interest of the Town of Easton. Mr. Smith stated that the owner of Standard Fusee Corporation believes it is no longer appropriate to manufacture explosive products at the Easton site and intends to move that function to another piece of property they own in Indiana. He stated that the owners thought that the neighbors of the current site would receive that well. Mr. Smith stated that the administrative functions will remain in Easton as the president Mr. Jay McLaughlin has his home in Easton. Mr. Smith discussed annexation benefits to the town and stated that annexation of this property would also expand Easton's tax base and give the town control and destiny of this area. Mr. Smith discussed what may happen if the property is not annexed. He discussed possible land uses under the county's Comprehensive Plan. Mr. Smith stated that the owner would like to have sewer service which would occur with annexation. He stated that the property is already developed and would allow the properties to be connected. He stated that the annexation would allow re-development of underutilized property. Mr. Smith discussed future land use on this property and stated that it should it be annexed and zoned commercial per the Comprehensive Plan. Mr. Smith discussed what is permitted under the county's zoning land use regulations. Mr. Smith stated that the Comprehensive Plan identifies these as Priority One properties and as commercial; both of which are before the council tonight. Mr. Smith discussed the possibility of the parcels being zoned retail. He stated that CG Zoning will not permit a major retail or shopping center development which can only be entitled through the PUD process and only with the approval of the Town Council. Mr. Smith discussed the loss of industrial land versus industrial land users. Mr. Smith urged the council to approve the annexation and designate CG or CL zoning. Traffic impacts were discussed. Mr. Smith stated that when a proposal is submitted to the town, it is an appropriate time to require the applicant or his successor to prepare a traffic impact study. Mr. Smith stated that the applicant is willing to tie this site to other sites and they are willing to construct the road. Mr. Smith stated that it is unreasonable to ask the applicant to wait for annexation. He stated that if the town is willing to annex the property at this time, agree to the zoning at this time, the property owner would be willing to sit on the property until that time and then look for a suitable use after that time period has elapsed. If not, the applicant may consider moving forward to a use with the county's rules and regulations.

President Ford stated that the Planning Commission voted 5-0 to recommend the establishment of the newly created BC zoning classification on the subject properties, should they ultimately be annexed into the Town. The Commission voted 3-2 to recommend that the annexation be approved. President Ford asked if the applicant is willing to move forward with the annexation if the council approved the BC zoning classification. Mr. Smith stated that he cannot say at the moment but could be discussed. He stated that with the Standard Fusee LLC property, they may view that as down zoning.

President Ford discussed his contact with Mr. Smith to discuss the development of a service

138139140

141142

134

135 136

137

144 145

143

146 147

148149150

151

152153

154 155

156

157 158 159

160161162163

164 165 166

167168169170

171 172 173

174175176

177178179180

181 182 183

184 185 186

187 188

189 190 191

192

193

194 195 196

197 198 road behind these properties which could mitigate future traffic issues on St. Michaels Road. He stated that if the service road is in place, it does not guarantee his support for annexation but if it was not, he would have trouble supporting the annexation. Mr. Smith stated that they have reached out and tried to get that deal done.

Mr. Lesher thanked Mr. Smith for his presentation and discussed the preserved forest that adjoins the property. He stated that he wants to maintain that preserved forest and asked what can be done to ensure that will be done.

Mr. Smith stated that they are aware of that portion of the property and it is contiguous to the property Remco has preserved with a Forest Conservation Easement and this property owner would be agreeable to setting that area aside to fulfill their Forest Conservation area requirements. He stated that they would agree to that condition of approval as long as they received credit for that.

President Ford asked if there were representatives from the county or state that wanted to address the council regarding the annexation request as they are entitled to be heard prior to public participation.

Mr. Dirk Bartlett, 7975 Bloomfield Road, stated that he is not speaking for the County Council but stated that the annexation concerns the county because Route 33 is the gateway to the county. Property as exists today is a buffer for the commercial growth which will be built out on Waterside Village and the Route 33 corridor. He stated that the jobs that are there will be gone should the upgrade in zoning occur. Mr. Bartlett discussed current industrial parks in the town. He stated that the county has provided land for the town to use for commercial growth but he does not believe this particular parcel is suitable. Mr. Bartlett discussed greenbelts. He stated that they do not permanently preserve land.

Mr. Tom Alspach, 295 Bay Street, #1, stated that he is present on behalf of Talbot Preservation Alliance and himself. He stated that he is not here to oppose the annexation. Mr. Alspach stated that he is present to discuss what the right time for the annexation to occur and what terms and conditions. Mr. Alspach stated that now is not the right time. He stated that the Planning Commission had a split vote 3-2. Mr. Alspach stated that although this site is a Priority 1 annexation site in the Comprehensive Plan, the council is not compelled to do anything. Mr. Alspach cited Rockville v Brookville Turner Construction Company; where it was decided that municipalities can reject annexation for no reason at all. Mr. Alspach discussed attaching conditions to an annexation and having an agreed plan. He stated that here is a chance to plan.

Mr. Josh Hastings, Eastern Shore Land Conservancy, 114 S Washington Street, stated that ESLC has good concerns, and they believe in strong towns and connectivity. He stated that ESLC believe in a greenbelt that is permanent. Mr. Hastings stated that they have concerns and wonders what is the impact on infill? He stated that Route 33 is the gateway into this area. Mr. Hastings stated that ESLC would be happy to do a small area plan connecting it to their greenspace and the work they have already completed. Mr. Hastings stated that they have very strong concerns with strip development and ongoing traffic issues. Mr. Hastings thanked the council for good work.

Mr. Douglass Slocum, 27900 Dixon Creek Lane, stated he lives right across from the property. He read a statement into the record that discussed concerns with expansion of development, and traffic in immediate area. He discussed the MD Route 33 having the highest volume of Talbot County. Mr. Slocum stated that the traffic light is not the answer. He asked the council to not move forward as that is doing things backwards. He read a statement from Peter Fellows that asked for a plan from Standard Fusee.

Ms. Bonnie Morro, 8094 North Fork Blvd, Easton Village, asked the council to pause and study the long term impact. She questioned whether more commercial development is needed. Ms. Morro discussed the traffic impact to Easton Village.

Mr. Charlie Bohn, 7881 Fort Stokes Lane, Ratcliffe, stated he is concerned with traffic as it is today. Mr. Bohn discussed the buildout of Easton Village and Waterside Village. He stated that the council needs to see plan. Mr. Bohn stated that there is no need to annex this property at this time.

Ms. Jill Ferrier, 211 S Harrison Street, asked the council to please be careful and be good stewards and not mess with the tenor of the community.

 Ms. Barbara Weingarden, 8192 Gatherly Circle, Easton Village, thanked the council for listening. She stated that those who fail to plan, plan to fail. Ms. Weingarden discussed vacant retail storefronts. Ms. Weingarden discussed the lack of living wages in the vicinity. She suggested targeting the nearby colleges.

Ms. Marsha Fidis, 9400 Bantry Road, discussed the greenspace and the conservation easement. She stated that this property is part of the greenspace buffer. Ms. Fidis stated that she would like to see the council consider what they should ask for from the property owner. Ms. Fidis asked the council for a dedicated permanent easement and a growth plan that would enhance area and protect the greenspace. Ms. Fidis asked the council to consider what the citizens of Easton are getting in return for the annexation. She stated that the town would lose leverage to annex now and plan later. Ms. Fidis urged the council to find out how the property would be used.

Mr. Kevin Nolan, 7950 Bloomfield Road, stated he has been here since 1999 fulltime since 2003 and is present as a concerned citizen. Mr. Nolan stated that the gateway is at risk. He stated that he sees complete disregard and the property owner should be concerned about how his neighbors fee. He said he rides his bike and it's so unsafe. Mr. Nolan asked the council to be good stewards and make the right decision.

Ms. Katherine Jones, 107 N Washington Street, thanked the council for their consideration and stated that she has a home in Traveler's Rest. She said she has sat in the seats the council are sitting in and appreciates their efforts. Ms. Jones stated that she travels the St. Michaels Road every day and agrees with the comments from those before her. Ms. Jones stated she has been in Easton for 38 years and has a deep affection for Easton. She urged the council to look at what the owner intends to do with the property before it is annexed into the town of Easton. Ms. Jones asked the council to look at that intersection and solve that problem before any other buildout.

Mr. James Brennan, 28330 Village Lake Way, discussed the community and asked the council to say they surveyed the citizens. He discussed the tidal marsh where he says the Standard Fusee sits.

Ms. Jane Bollman, 221 S Hanson Street, told the council that if they vote to annex without a plan, you are voting to risk sprawl.

Mr. Mike Haggar, 27950 Tred Avon, stated he has been here for three years commuting from D.C. everyday. Mr. Haggar discussed empty stores and businesses no longer in Easton. Mr. Haggar asked the council to survey the citizens and see what they want.

Ms. Grace Saltus, 28330 Village Lake Way, stated that she previously testified at the Planning & Zoning Commission. She discussed the tidal basis and stated that there is a safety issue with traffic. Ms. Saltus stated that anything to do with that property should be considered a brownfield due to the nature of the pyrotechnics and the manufacture of those products. She stated she is concerned that Waterside Village is less than half completed. Ms. Saltus asked the council to disapprove annexation and seize the opportunity and reject the request.

Mr. Smith on behalf of the applicant discussed two points. To require a plan would be the exception not the rule for an annexation. Mr. Smith stated that the town is doing a good job of planning and it is working. He stated that the town is following the Comprehensive plan which is saying what should occur.

At 9:13 p.m., President Ford thanked the audience for coming and for their comments. He stated that he appreciated the civility. Mr. Ford stated that Planning and Zoning in this the town of Easton as everyone else is to seek a balance between individual property rights and the property rights of a community. Mr. Ford stated that the council has a lot to think about. Mr. Ford stated that he would close the hearing for tonight but additional comments can be sent to town office to be forwarded to the council for consideration.

COMMENTS FROM THE COUNCIL.

Mr. Silverstein stated that he recently toured the new Habitat for Humanity home on Salmon Street. He stated that he attended the Little League Baseball Opening Ceremony.

	d reminded those present that izens Saturday from 10 a.m. to		
AJOURN.			
• •	upon motion by Mr. Silverstend adjourned the regularly sch	•	sher and carried unanimously
Kathy M. Ruf,	, Town Clerk		